

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1456 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/997,082 11/28/2001		John Charles Clark	57254US002	6097	
	7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			PIZIALI, ANDREW T		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Appli Holdy Main Bales,		Application	No.	Applicant(s)
Andrew T Piziali The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Poriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of inner may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be smely filed Extensions of inner may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be smely filed Extension of the reply appendix under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be smely filed Extension of the reply appendix under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be smely filed. Extension of the reply appendix under the mailing will be subtrained to reply specified above in size stan-thirty (30) days, an only within the statutory minimum of the mailing will be reply and will expect as (6) en NOTATION. Filed the provision of the standard provision of the standard provision of the standard provision of the standard patent form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2004. 2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-2 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) Accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 11) The centh or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	067	09/997,082		CLARK ET AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherises of them ruply be waited under the provisible under the provi	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time may be activated with the communication of the property of time may be activated with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and pull expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply the specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and pull expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and pull expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become Anadomorphic (3) status. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become Anadomorphic (3) status. If the period is the specified above is less than thirty and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce ally status and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce ally status. As proper received by the Office and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce ally status. If the period is a period of this communication is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are period and the papilication. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-29 is/are epicted to. 3b) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 3c) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 3c) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/22/20/1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to th				1771
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no ovent, however, may a reply be timely flied or the contraction. If the period for THS from the mailing date of the communication. If the period for the first the mailing date of the communication. If the period for reply is sported above, the maximus distulory period will apply and will explicit style (MINTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is sported above, the maximus distulory period will apply and will explicit style (MINTHS from the mailing date of this communication is the period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U S.C. § 133). Any ruphy scored by the forties determine after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any scanned potent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.764(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5c) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6c) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6c) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7c) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7d) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8explication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified	The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the o	over sheet with th	ne correspondence address
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2004. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three month.	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statute perly will, by statute, cause the applications after the mailing date of this come to safter the mailing date of this come.	, however, may a reply b ry minimum of thirty (30) expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the one of ABAND	the timely filed I days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413)	1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 23 August 2004		
3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			ı-final.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	3) Since this application is in condition			prosecution as to the merits is
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice	ctice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i> j	/le, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5		e application		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			ration	
6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **tachment(s)** Molice of References Cited (PTO-892)			iddon.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **tachment(s)** Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			uirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ttachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ☐ Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ttachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informalion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note	the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **		n for foreian briority unde	35 U.S.C. & 110	(a)-(d) or (f)
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ando	20 0.0.0. 3 119	(a) (a) or (i).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		y documents have been r	eceived.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				ation No.
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. S) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office acti	ion for a list of the certified	d copies not recei	ved.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				,
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ttachmant/s)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			□ Into - de-co	(DTO 440)
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (4) (PTO-948)		
6) ☐ Other:) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o	or PTO/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informa	
Patent and Trademark Office		6)	 	

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 10-19, in the reply filed on 8/23/2004, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 10-16 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,331,224 to Seko et al. (hereinafter referred to as Seko).

Regarding claims 10-16 and 18-19, Seko discloses a hydrophobic carbon fiber construction made by a process comprising immersing a carbon fiber construction (carbon fibers and pulp) in an aqueous dispersion of highly fluorinated polymer (PTFE), drying the resultant carbon fiber construction, and heating (sintering) the resultant carbon fiber construction, whereby the PTFE particles are fixed on the surfaces of the carbon fibers (see entire document including column 5, lines 44 through column 7, line 61). Seko discloses that when the carbon fiber construction is immersed in the PTFE particle dispersed dilute solution, the pulp absorbs the water as the dispersant for the PTFE particle dispersed liquid. Together with this water the

Art Unit: 1771

PTFE particles adhere to the pulp. Upon baking the resultant carbon fiber construction the pulp is removed and the PTFE particles that were especially carried in the spaces that the pulp occupied adhere to the surfaces of the carbon fibers resulting in a carbon fiber construction with a high PTFE particle content on the surfaces of the carbon fibers (column 7, lines 16-29).

Considering the high PTFE content on the surfaces of the carbon fibers, it appears that the article taught by the prior art is identical to the claimed article. Absent a showing to the contrary, it is the examiner's position that the article of the applied prior art is identical to or only slightly different than the claimed article. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The burden has been shifted to the applicant to show obvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The applied prior art either anticipated or strongly suggested the claimed subject matter. It is noted that if the applicant intends to rely on Examples in the specification or in a submitted declaration to show non-obviousness, the applicant should clearly state how the Examples of the present invention are commensurate in scope with the claims and how the Comparative Examples are commensurate in scope with the applied prior art.

Regarding claim 18, Seko discloses that the carbon fiber construction can be a non-woven carbon fiber construction (column 5, lines 37-36)

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,331,224 to Seko (as applied to claims 10-16 and 18-19 above) in view of any one of USPN 6,723,464 to Tabata et al. (hereinafter referred to as Tabata), USPN 6,713,424 to Stumper et al. (hereinafter referred to as Stumper), USPN 6,350,423 to Aoyama, or USPN 6,127,058 to Pratt et al. (hereinafter referred to as Pratt).

Seko discloses that the carbon fiber construction may be a non-woven carbon fiber construction (column 5, lines 37-36), but Seko does not specifically mention making the carbon fiber construction a woven construction. Tabata (paragraph bridging columns 2 and 3), Stumper (paragraph bridging columns 8 and 9), Aoyama (paragraph bridging columns 14 and 15), and Pratt (paragraph bridging columns 2 and 3) each disclose that typical carbon fiber constructions include woven carbon fiber constructions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the carbon fiber construction from any suitable carbon fiber construction, such as a woven carbon fiber construction, as taught by Tabata, Stumper, Aoyama, or Pratt, because it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known construction on the basis of its suitability.

Art Unit: 1771

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant asserts that a comparison of Example 1 (Figures 1 and 2) versus Example 2C (Figures 3 and 4) illustrates that the product made according to the method of the present invention has distinct and much improved characteristics over the prior art. The examiner acknowledges the distinction between Example 1 and Example 2C, wherein Example 1 has increased uniformity and increased PTFE content, but Example 2C is not representative of the teachings of Seko. For example, Example 2C does not mention the use of pulp.

Seko discloses a hydrophobic carbon fiber construction made by a process comprising immersing a carbon fiber construction (carbon fibers and pulp) in an aqueous dispersion of highly fluorinated polymer (PTFE), drying the resultant carbon fiber construction, and heating (sintering) the resultant carbon fiber construction, whereby the PTFE particles are fixed on the surfaces of the carbon fibers (see entire document including column 5, lines 44 through column 7, line 61). Seko discloses that when the carbon fiber construction is immersed in the PTFE particle dispersed dilute solution, the pulp absorbs the water as the dispersant for the PTFE particle dispersed liquid. Together with this water the PTFE particles adhere to the pulp. Upon baking the resultant carbon fiber construction the pulp is removed and the PTFE particles that were especially carried in the spaces that the pulp occupied adhere to the surfaces of the carbon fibers resulting in a carbon fiber construction with a high PTFE particle content on the surfaces of the carbon fibers (column 7, lines 16-29). Considering the high PTFE content on the surfaces

Art Unit: 1771

of the carbon fibers, it appears that the article taught by the prior art is identical to the claimed article.

The applicant has failed to show, or attempt to show, that the claimed hydrophobic carbon fiber construction is patentably distinct over the hydrophobic carbon fiber construction taught by Seko.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

Art Unit: 1771

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

atp

ANDREWT. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINED

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700